



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1186/s13229-017-0177-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0177-1)

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Lukito, S., Jones, C. R. G., Pickles, A., Baird, G., Happe, F. G. E., Charman, T., & Simonoff, E. (2017). Specificity of executive function and theory of mind performance in relation to attention-deficit/hyperactivity symptoms in autism spectrum disorders. *Molecular Autism*, 8(1), [60]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-017-0177-1>

### **Citing this paper**

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Specificity of Executive Function and Theory of Mind Performance in Relation to  
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Symptoms in Autism Spectrum Disorders**

Steve Lukito<sup>1</sup>, Catherine R.G. Jones<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Pickles<sup>3</sup>, Gillian Baird<sup>4</sup>, Francesca Happé<sup>5</sup>,  
Tony Charman<sup>6</sup>, and Emily Simonoff<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; King's College London; Institute of  
Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience; London, UK

<sup>2</sup> School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biostatistics and Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health, King's  
College London; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience; London, UK

<sup>4</sup> Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's Health Partners, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry (SGDP) Centre; King's College London;  
Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience; London, UK

<sup>6</sup> Department of Psychology; King's College London; Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and  
Neuroscience; London, UK

Authors emails:

Steve Lukito: [steve.s.lukito@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:steve.s.lukito@kcl.ac.uk)

Catherine R.G. Jones: [jonescr10@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:jonescr10@cardiff.ac.uk)

Andrew Pickles: [andrew.pickles@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:andrew.pickles@kcl.ac.uk)

Gillian Baird: [gillian.baird@gstt.nhs.uk](mailto:gillian.baird@gstt.nhs.uk)

Francesca Happé: [francesca.happe@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:francesca.happe@kcl.ac.uk)

Tony Charman: [tony.charman@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:tony.charman@kcl.ac.uk)

Emily Simonoff (\*corresponding author): [emily.simonoff@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:emily.simonoff@kcl.ac.uk)

## Abstract

**Background:** Individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) frequently demonstrate symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Previous findings in children with ASD have suggested that these symptoms are associated with an impairment in executive function (EF) abilities. However, studies rarely considered this association within a single framework that controls for other related factors such as Theory of Mind (ToM) abilities and ASD symptoms.

**Methods:** We used structural equation modelling to explore the relations among EF, ToM and symptoms of ASD and ADHD, using data from a population-based sample of 100 adolescents with ASD and full-scale IQ  $\geq 50$  (the Special Needs and Autism Project [SNAP] cohort). The study used a multi-measure and multi-informant approach, where performance of inhibition, planning, switching and working memory tasks indexed EF, and performance on tasks involving mentalizing indexed ToM. Measures of ASD and ADHD symptoms included parent and teacher reports and direct observation of the children. Shared source of symptom reporting was accounted for with a parental rating latent factor indexed by symptom measures reported by parents.

**Results:** Impairments in EF abilities were specifically associated with ADHD symptoms while impaired ToM was specifically associated with ASD symptoms, when accounting for the associations of each cognitive domain with the other factors. ASD and ADHD symptom latent factors were also correlated, but this association became nonsignificant once the shared source of reporting from parents was accounted for and within a model that also controlled for the correlated pathway between EF and ToM factors. The specific relations between the cognitive domains and behavioral symptoms remained even after controlling for IQ.

**Conclusions:** In this ASD sample, symptoms of ADHD and ASD are underpinned by separate cognitive domains. The association between EF and ToM impairments is a likely partial explanation for the co-occurrence of ADHD symptoms in ASD, but the role of shared reporting effects is also important and supports the inclusion of independent informants and objective measures in future research.

**Key Words:**

Autism spectrum disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, comorbidity, executive function, theory of mind, SNAP

## Background

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a condition affecting 1-2% children worldwide [1]. Individuals with ASD are impaired in reciprocal social communication and interaction, and display various stereotyped and repetitive behaviors [2]. Many children with ASD also meet the criteria for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), with rates of approximately 30-60% in community samples [3-5] compared to 5-7% for ADHD in the general population [6]. Both ASD and ADHD are associated with highly overlapping cognitive impairments [e.g., 7, 8, 9]. Therefore, neurocognitive approaches can be useful for explaining the mechanisms underpinning the co-occurring ADHD in the ASD population [10-12].

Two disorders can co-occur beyond chance level due to artefactual or non-artefactual reasons [13, 14]. In the clinical setting, the co-occurrence of two disorders can arise due to sampling or ascertainment biases, resulting in artefactual increase of its prevalence. However, these mechanisms cannot be the sole explanation for the co-occurrence of ASD and ADHD because their high rates have been observed in population-based and epidemiological samples [3-5]. Indeed, several models of non-artefactual comorbidity of ASD and ADHD have been proposed recently [10-12, 15]. The “additivity model” is one such model [11]. The model hypothesizes that the co-occurrence of ASD and ADHD arises from separate but correlated risk factors or liabilities, and results in the “additive combination of two separate nosologies” [14]. To investigate this model, neurocognitive studies typically use group comparison or factorial design, contrasting individuals with pure ASD or ADHD, combined ASD+ADHD, against those with typical development. In these studies, the pure ASD or ADHD groups are expected to demonstrate unique cognitive profiles, of which combination characterizes the cognitive performance of the ASD+ADHD group. The model thus predicts a double dissociation between the cognitive correlates of ASD and ADHD traits [14].

In the context of cognitive function, impairments of theory of mind (ToM) and executive function (EF) cognitive domains are often reported in children with ASD [e.g., 9, 16-19]. Central to ToM is the ability to mentalize, that is, to attribute mental states such as beliefs, desires, feelings and intentions of others. Impaired ToM abilities are thought to be developmentally specific to ASD [20, 21] and have been reported to much lesser extent in ADHD [e.g., 9, 22, 23]. Often reported in both disorders are EF impairments, which is usually inferred from performance of inhibition, working memory, cognitive flexibility and planning tasks [see e.g., 24, 25]. The impairments of EF have been reported especially in ADHD [26-28] and it is uncertain presently if executive dysfunctions truly characterize ASD. Findings of impairments across studies have been heterogeneous [e.g., 17, 29, 30] and the performance of the EF tasks are rarely correlated with ASD symptom severity, except for between cognitive flexibility deficits and repetitive behavior [e.g., 31, 32-34]. Evidence also suggests that EF impairments in the ASD population are associated with co-occurring ADHD traits. For instance, Corbett et al. [35] found that the inhibitory impairments among ASD children fell to trend level after excluding those with additional ADHD, while Buehler et al. [36] showed increasing motor inhibition impairments in the ASD+ADHD relative to the pure ASD group. Others have also reported increased impairments of sustained attention and working memory in the combined vs. the pure ASD group [11, 12, 15, 37, 38].

Those findings suggest dissociable relations between EF and ToM impairments and the ADHD or ASD traits among individuals with ASD [39] but there are limitations to this interpretation. Firstly, the pattern of increased executive dysfunctions in the combined relative to the pure ASD group have not been observed in *every* EF subdomains (e.g., in the domains of planning and cognitive flexibility [11, 40, 41]), although presumably these subdomains have specific characteristics beyond the “common” EF [25, 42] that are unrelated to the ADHD traits. Furthermore, most studies tend to use single measures for defining

symptoms of ASD and ADHD, completed typically by a single informant (e.g., parents for pediatric studies). Such approach may increase the magnitude of associations between symptom domains because of the shared source of information, in this case between ASD and ADHD symptoms [39]. Finally, most studies have tested the links between each domain of cognition (ToM or EF) and behavioral traits in separate studies [39]. Thus, possible influences each factor might have on the relation between the other cognitive domain and behavioral traits might be obscured. Moderating influences could exert for instance through pathways between EF and ToM [e.g., 43, 44-46] or between the symptom domains of ASD and ADHD [e.g., 47, 48, 49], which should be controlled within one single framework.

We explore the associations among EF, ToM and symptom domains of ASD and ADHD and address the above challenges in the present study. In line with a recent approach [39], we investigated the dimensional relations between factors within a population-based cohort of children with ASD instead of conducting a group comparison with a factorial design. The study used a structural equation modelling (SEM) framework, enabling the constructions of the latent factors EF and ToM derived from multiple measures, and therefore controlling the specific influences of each task or cognitive subdomain. Symptoms ASD and ADHD were indexed using multiple measures collected from multiple informants including parents, teachers and the children themselves. Using this approach, we aimed to elucidate the specific and potentially clinically significant patterns of associations among those factors within the ASD population. We hypothesized specific associations between EF impairments and increased ADHD symptoms, and between ToM impairments and increased ASD symptoms.

## Methods

### Participants

The Special Needs and Autism Project (SNAP) is a population-based cohort of people with ASD who were first ascertained and characterized at the age of 10-12 years (Wave 1; [50]). The children received research diagnoses of ASD according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10; [51]), based on the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R; [52]) parental interview, the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic (ADOS-G; [53]) and IQ, language, and adaptive behavior. Of the original ASD sample,  $N = 131$  (77%) had full-scale intelligent quotient (FSIQ)  $\geq 50$  and were followed up at the age of 14-16 years (Wave 2). Of these 131 individuals, 19 declined to take part, 11 could not be contacted and one stated interest but could not take part before the end of the study. Thus, in total 100 adolescents ( $n = 9$  females) participated in the current study. The children did not differ from those who did not take part, although eligible ( $n = 31$ ), on baseline measures of IQ, the ADOS-G and ADI-R total scores, the Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS; [54]) total score, the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) ADHD symptom numbers, and parent- and teacher-rated hyperactivity/inattention scores on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; [55]) ( $t_s = .32-1.5$ ,  $p_s = .14-.75$ ). The follow-up was conducted in two sessions completed on average in 29 days ( $SD = 36$  days; Range = 1-259 days) with 94 participants completing the follow-up session within  $2 \times SD$  days from the mean. Tests were divided equally between two days, with IQ tests reserved for the first day of testing, in case of participant drop-out. For each testing day, a task order was fixed that enabled presentation of activities to be balanced (e.g. alternating between computerized and pen and paper tasks) and accommodate any constraints (e.g. tasks with a fixed duration). Half of the participants received the tasks in reverse order with some adjustment to account for



task constraints. The study was approved by the South-East London Research Ethics Committee (05/MRE01/67). Informed consent was given by the parents and by the participant if their level of understanding was sufficient.

## **Measures**

Measures used in this study are described below and in the Supplement. All measures were collected from the young people or parent/teacher over two waves of studies, when the young people were 10-16 years. The measures and timing (Wave 1 or 2) are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Cognitive measure.** The Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; [56]) was chosen as a brief but reliable measure of general intellectual ability. The WASI consisted of four subtests, all contributed to an estimate of full-scale IQ (FSIQ).

**ASD measures.** ASD symptoms were indexed by (1) total algorithm score on the ADOS-G; (2) total algorithm score on the ADI-R and (3) parent ratings on the SRS.

**ADHD measures.** ADHD symptoms were measured using (1) parent and teacher ratings on the hyperactivity domain of the SDQ; (2) parent report of inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms frequency and their impact on everyday functioning on the Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms (PONS; [57]) and (3) the number DSM-IV ADHD symptoms endorsed by parents on the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment interview (CAPA; [58]).

**EF measures.** The EF measures included in this study were (1) Opposite Worlds, part of the Test of Everyday Attention for Children [59] and (2) Luria Hand Game [60] as measures of inhibition; (3) Trail Making Test [61] and (4) a card sorting task [62] as measures of cognitive flexibility or switching; (5) a planning drawing task [63] as an index of planning

and (6) Numbers (backward), taken from Children's Memory Scale [64], as a measure of working memory. The measures were selected based on the ADHD literature and a previous model of EF [25, 65].

**ToM measures.** The ToM measures included in this study were (1) a combined False-Belief story ("The Chocolate Story"), a test of first- and second-order false-belief understanding [66, 67]; (2) Reading the Mind in the Eyes Task [68], an assessment of the ability of an individual to infer emotional state from photographic images of pairs of eyes; (3) Penny Hiding Game [69], a naturalistic nonverbal deception task; (4) Strange Stories Test [70], a verbal test consisting of short stories illustrating complex interaction involving lies, double bluffs or persuasion and (5) Frith-Happé Animated Triangles Task [71] that assesses spontaneous attribution of mental states towards animated geometric objects.

#### **Analytical Plan**

Data preparation and descriptive analyses were undertaken in STATA 11 [72]. Raw data were reversed when necessary so higher scores reflected greater symptoms or difficulties. Box-Cox transformations were used to normalize skewed data (Table 2) and structural equation modeling (SEM) was used to model the relations among factors. Although the data were collected over 6 years, we modelled their associations within a single cross-sectional design. The analysis was divided in four steps. In Step 1, the structure of the latent factors for EF and ToM was investigated using an exploratory factor analysis (EFA) with all indicators entered, employing a Geomin rotation. An EFA was thought more suitable than a confirmatory factor analysis for two reasons: (1) the factor structures of the cognitive domains indexed by the candidate EF and ToM measures were not completely clear as the two domains are usually explored separately [e.g., 25, 73] and (2) individual neurocognitive measures are not "process pure" [34], often involving a mixture of cognitive domains. For

this reason, we contrasted one (i.e., common cognitive factor) against two-factor (i.e., EF and ToM) predictor models, of which model fits were evaluated using  $\chi^2$  statistics. A two-factor structure fit the EF and ToM factors better and was chosen. To improve the “purity” of the factors, indicators that cross-loaded, for example, significantly loaded to both the EF and ToM factors, or to the factor not expected *a priori*, and those or with factor loadings  $\leq 0.4$  were excluded in the first instance.

\*\*\* INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE.

In Step 2, we built the SEM Model 1 (Figure 1A) to assess the relations between the neurocognitive (ToM and EF) and behavioral (ASD and ADHD) latent factors. The model was derived from the available data collected from the young people and parent/teacher over a period of 6 years when the young people were 10-16 years, taking the assumption of ADHD and ASD symptom persistence over the time window [74-76]. The data were modelled with EF and ToM “predicting” the symptom factors. However, the aim was not to test if cognitive factors causally underpin symptom domains, but rather to understand better the pattern of associations between cognition and behavior. The EF and ToM latent factors were allowed to correlate [43, 44] as were the ASD and ADHD factors [38, 39, 47, 77]. The EFA and SEM modelling was conducted in Mplus [78].

Inspection of the residual covariances suggested that accounting for shared information from parents could improve model fit. Therefore, in Step 3, we introduced a parental reporting latent factor to account for shared informant influences from parents (Model 2; Figure 1B). The parental latent factor was indexed by symptom measures reported by parents (i.e., excluding the ADOS and the SDQ teacher report). The final form of Model 2 was derived in Step 4, by systematically removing nonsignificant pathways to increase parsimony and comparing the nested models iteratively. Since many individuals with ASD and ADHD have

low IQ [e.g., 79, 80], we examined in a sensitivity analysis whether the associations among factors remained after controlling for FSIQ in Model 2. The ToM, EF, ASD and ADHD latent factors were regressed on FSIQ in Step 5 (Supplementary Figure S1A) and the associations between the neurocognitive and symptom factors were inspected. Mindful of the possible changes of ASD or ADHD traits from childhood to adolescence, in Step 6 we conducted a sensitivity analysis by modelling the data collected from the children at age 14-16 years, excluding the teacher SDQ hyperactivity domain and DSM-IV ADHD symptoms from the ADHD factor, the total ADOS-G and ADI-R scores from the ASD factor, and the parental latent factor since all behavior scores were parent-rated. In this model, ASD symptoms were indexed by the observed SRS score. The analyses were repeated excluding data from children whose experimental sessions were separated by time window larger than  $2 \times SD$  from the mean to see if the extreme testing day separation could have impacted the findings. All models were fitted by maximum likelihood with robust standard error (MLR) which provides unbiased estimates for missing data with missingness assumed at random. The model fit for nested models was evaluated using the likelihood ratio chi-square, comparative fit index (CFI) and the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI [81]; acceptable fit for both indices  $\geq .90$ ), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA [82]; acceptable fit  $\leq .08$ ). The Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) were provided in some cases to allow comparisons of non-nested models.

\*\*\* INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

\*\*\* INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

253

## Results

254 Descriptive statistics of measures are given in Table 1 and their bivariate correlations, with  
255 associated  $p$ -values uncorrected for multiple comparisons, are in Table 2.

256 **Step 1: EFA of predictor factors.** The EFA of the ToM and EF indicators better fitted a  
257 two-factor ( $\chi^2[34] = 49.8, p = .04$ ; CFI = .94; TLI = .91; RMSEA = .07) than a one-factor  
258 model ( $\chi^2[44] = 81.5, p = .0005$ ; CFI = .87; TLI = .83; RMSEA = .09;  $\Delta\chi^2[10] = 32.5, p <$   
259  $.001$ ). ToM and EF were correlated ( $r = .62, p < .05$ ). Inspection of this model revealed that  
260 several tasks cross-loaded on the “other” neurocognitive domain (Table 3). The Luria Hand  
261 Game cross-loaded on ToM (factor loading = .49) and performances on the Strange Stories  
262 and False-Belief tasks cross-loaded on EF (factor loadings = .38 and .44, respectively). As  
263 they loaded on factors not expected *a priori*, they were thought to be less “pure” than other  
264 indicators and were removed from the subsequent SEM model. The model of the predictors  
265 with no cross-loading indicators still fit well to a two-factor model ( $\chi^2[13] = 16.2, p = .24$ ;  
266 CFI = .98; TLI = .95; RMSEA = .04) but the correlation between EF and ToM was reduced  
267 from .62 to .40.

268 \*\*\* INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

269 **Step 2: The associations among EF, ToM, and ASD and ADHD symptoms.** Model 1  
270 (Figure 1A) approached an acceptable fit ( $\chi^2[84] = 151.7, p < .0001$ ; CFI = .82; TLI = .77;  
271 RMSEA = .09; AIC = 6063.9; BIC = 6196.8). Critically, paths between EF and ADHD ( $\beta =$   
272  $.44, p = .005$ ), ToM and ASD ( $\beta = .74, p = .007$ ), EF and ToM ( $\beta = .59, p < .001$ ) and ASD  
273 and ADHD ( $\beta = .60, p = .021$ ) were significant. Those between EF and ASD ( $\beta = -.11, p =$   
274  $.7$ ) and ToM and ADHD ( $\beta = .07, p = .6$ ) were not.

**Step 3: Adding a parental latent factor.** Accounting for the shared parental information resulted in Model 2 that approached the threshold of acceptability ( $\chi^2[79] = 114.3, p = .006$ ; CFI = .90; TLI = .87; RMSEA = .067; AIC = 6039.8; BIC = 6185.7). The paths of interest between EF and ADHD symptoms ( $\beta = .36, p = .049$ ) and ToM and ASD symptoms remained ( $\beta = .94, p < .001$ ), and the paths between EF and ASD and ToM and ADHD were nonsignificant. The correlation between ASD and ADHD became nonsignificant ( $r = .03, p = .94$ ), indicating that the correlation between these symptom domains was partially accounted for by the shared variance of the parent ratings.

**Step 4: Derivation of the final model.** The final model (Figure 2) was derived from Model 2 with nonsignificant paths systematically removed. The nonsignificant correlation between ASD and ADHD was the first to be removed, as it ceased to be significant upon the addition of parental factor. This resulted in a model of which fit was not significantly worse than the full model (Sattora-Bentler scaled  $\Delta\chi^2[1] = .007, p = .93$ ; CFI = .91; TLI = .88; RMSEA = .064). The removal of a second nonsignificant path between EF and ASD factors also did not significantly change the last model fit (Sattora-Bentler scaled  $\Delta\chi^2[1] = 1.35, p = .25$ ; CFI = .91; TLI = .88; RMSEA = .064). The final removal of the nonsignificant path between ToM and ADHD also did not worsen the model fit (Sattora-Bentler scaled  $\Delta\chi^2[1] = .80, p = .37$ ; CFI = .91; TLI = .88; RMSEA = .064; AIC = 6037.0; BIC = 6175.0). This model showed that EF impairments were associated with increased ADHD symptoms ( $\beta = .49, p = .001$ ), ToM impairments were associated with ASD symptoms ( $\beta = .75, p < .001$ ), and EF and ToM were correlated ( $r = .57, p < .001$ ).

\*\*\* INSERT FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

**Step 5: Sensitivity analysis of a model including FSIQ.** By regressing EF, ToM, ASD, and ADHD in full Model 2 on FSIQ and removing nonsignificant paths, we arrived at the final

parsimonious model ( $\chi^2[95] = 138.7, p = .002$ ; CFI =.91; TLI =.88; RMSEA = .07; AIC = 6811.5; BIC = 6960; Figure S1B), which retained the specific relations between EF and ADHD ( $\beta = .40, p = .002$ ) and ToM and ASD ( $\beta = .75, p < .001$ ), while controlling for the association between higher IQ and less impairment in EF ( $\beta = -.84, p < .001$ ) and ToM ( $\beta = -.65, p < .001$ ).

**Step 6: Sensitivity analysis using 14-16 year-old data.** The fit for the parsimonious model including only the adolescent data was excellent ( $\chi^2[51] = 52.7, p = .41$ ; CFI =.99; TLI =.99; RMSEA = .018; AIC = 3914.5; BIC = 4010.9; Figure S2B), consisting of specific relations between EF and ADHD ( $\beta = .38, p = .005$ ) and between ToM and observed ASD symptoms ( $\beta = .39, p < .001$ ), while controlling for the association between IQ to EF ( $\beta = -.84, p < .001$ ) and ToM impairments ( $\beta = -.72, p < .001$ ). The model structure was also preserved when children whose two testing days were separated by more than the 2×SD days of the mean were excluded from the analyses (see Supplement).

## Discussion

Although ADHD symptoms are frequently seen in people with ASD, the basis for this association remains poorly understood. This study explored the specificity of relations among executive dysfunction, ToM impairments and the ASD and ADHD traits using the framework of SEM, in a population-based sample of children with ASD. The primary findings of the study are that poorer EF ability is specifically associated with increased ADHD symptoms, accounting for its association with variation in ToM performance and ASD symptoms. Furthermore, impairments in ToM are specifically associated with ASD symptoms. Taken together, the findings show that ADHD and ASD symptoms in adolescents with ASD have dissociable neurocognitive correlates. The secondary findings are that the observed

correlation between ASD and ADHD symptoms in the sample can be explained by shared source of reporting from parents and the correlation between EF and ToM. Finally, the specific relations between each cognitive domain and the behavioral symptoms are retained even when controlling for IQ.

### **Specific Associations between Cognitive Domains and Symptoms**

Our model shows that the EF impairments among individuals with ASD are associated with increased ADHD symptoms. This is in line with findings from previous group comparisons reporting increased EF difficulties among children with dual diagnoses of ASD and ADHD compared to children with ASD alone [11, 36-38] and the associations reported between EF deficits and increased ADHD symptoms in ASD samples [39, 83]. In addition, the association between EF impairments and increased ADHD symptoms in this ASD sample is consistent with findings in other samples of individuals with ADHD [e.g., 8, 26, 27, 84] and in the general populations [e.g., 85, 86, 87]. Importantly, we did not detect a significant association between ToM impairments and ADHD symptoms in the model, consistent with a recent meta-analytic finding showing that ToM difficulties are present to a much lesser extent in ADHD than ASD populations [9]. The specific relation between EF and ADHD symptoms in this context may provide an explanation for the mixed findings of EF difficulties in ASD [e.g., 17, 29, 30], in that EF difficulties are perhaps more likely to be found among people with ASD who have co-occurring ADHD.

The SEM model also shows that mentalizing abilities are specifically associated with the severity of ASD and not ADHD symptoms. This is consistent with results from previous studies [88-90] and supports the view that ToM impairments are specifically linked to ASD symptoms. These findings contrast with some studies that fail to find an association between ToM and everyday social behavior in people with ASD [e.g., 91, 92, 93], perhaps due to



these studies' reliance on specific measures such as the false belief test, which may not fully capture the breadth of socio-cognitive and perceptual processes related to ToM [92, 94, 95]. We have addressed this potential limitation by using a multi-measure approach to better capture the ToM construct.

Contrary to previous findings of associations between performance of a variety of EF tasks and ASD symptoms [39, 44, 92, 96] and specifically between cognitive flexibility and repetitive behavior symptoms [e.g., 31, 32-34], no association was found between EF impairments and ASD traits in the SEM model. There could be several explanations for this finding. Firstly, none of these previous studies considered the association between EF and ASD after controlling for ToM impairments. Therefore, the association between EF and ASD symptoms might have been observed in those studies because the covariation of ToM and ASD or EF and ADHD symptoms was not accounted for. Secondly, many studies reporting the associations between EF impairments and ASD symptoms analyzed these relations separately between tasks that may tap different aspects of EF. Thus, the correlations found between task performance and ASD symptoms, notably between cognitive flexibility performance and repetitive behavior symptoms, may reflect relations between properties that are specific to the task or the EF subdomain, rather than the underlying common EF factor, with the ASD symptoms. Lastly, as learnt from the finding in relation to the Luria Hand Game, performance on a task that is traditionally an inhibitory measure may load onto ToM instead of EF factors, thus more associated with ASD symptoms. This could be because the task triggers mentalizing processes when the subjects attempt to guess which hand gesture the experimenter would be giving next. Such interpretation is in line with the Triple-I hypothesis [97], which argues that EF impairments in ASD might be a by-product of mentalizing deficits in the population.

## **A Shared Parental Rating Factor Partially Accounts for the Correlation between ASD and ADHD Traits**

Several previous studies have found that individuals with ASD (and co-occurring ADHD symptoms) have more severe ASD traits relative to those with ASD alone, judged from parent-rated questionnaires such as the SRS [38, 47, 49]. Autistic trait measures have less specificity when applied to children with ASD and additional behavioral or emotional problems, including ADHD [98, 99], thus it is possible that past reports of an association between ASD and ADHD traits were due a systematic instrument bias, in which those with additional ADHD traits also receive higher ratings of autistic traits. The association between ADHD and ASD trait severity was noticeably absent in previous studies, however, when ASD traits were measured using measures such as the ADI-R, ADOS [38, 47, 48, 75] or clinical symptom counts [74]. Unlike the SRS, which is parent questionnaire, measures such as the ADI-R and clinical symptoms involve clinical judgement, and in the case of ADOS, direct observation of the children. Therefore, the association between the ASD and ADHD traits found in previous studies might be partially dependent on the source and type of reporting.

Indeed, the final SEM model showed that information obtained from the same source (i.e., parents in this case) on ASD and ADHD traits moderated their correlation. That is, ratings by the same informant on different measures are more highly correlated than those from different informants. There are multiple possible explanations for this finding. Informants, in this case parents, may have a specific response style, such as a tendency to rate all behaviors as high or low, that influences their responses across measures [100]. Furthermore, children's behavior may differ across settings [101, 102]. As in many studies, parents were the predominant source of information regarding symptoms in this study. Because no other

information source was shared across ASD and ADHD measures, it was not possible to test whether these effects are specific to parents or applies to other sources, such as teachers or direct observation. Nevertheless, our findings underline the importance of obtaining multiple sources of information [103].

### **Explaining the Co-occurrence of ADHD Symptoms among ASD Children**

In line with the comorbidity literature, our model showed that artefactual and non-artefactual mechanisms could explain the increased co-occurrence of ADHD traits in the ASD children population. Firstly, ADHD traits might be reported at increased rates, presumably in those with more severe ASD, due to shared reporting source effects from parents. These cases, which do not express true comorbidity, one hopes are few and can be differentiated from true comorbidity cases during clinical observations, corroborated by non-parental source of information. Aside from this artefactual reason, our model provides preliminary supports for the cognitive mechanisms underlying the true comorbidity of ADHD traits in children with ASD. We have shown in this study that the cognitive correlates of the ASD and ADHD traits in children are dissociable, which supports the additive model for the co-occurrence of ADHD traits on ASD symptoms. Furthermore, from our model, the co-occurrence of the separable ASD and ADHD traits could be explained partially by the moderate correlation between EF and ToM impairments (.56), indicating that individuals with both ASD and ADHD symptoms constitute those who are “doubly hit” by both EF and ToM impairments.

Due to the limitations posed by the available data, the support our model gives to the additive model is preliminary. To satisfactorily adhere to the additive comorbidity model, we believe that the ADHD traits found in our ASD population must be phenomenologically equivalent, both in presentations and their associations to the cognitive factors, with symptoms found in the pure ADHD population. While previous findings suggest that ADHD symptoms in

clinical ASD and ADHD populations have similar presentations (inattentive, hyperactive, and combined [104, 105]) with only subtle differences in few symptoms [106, 107], and although the association found between executive dysfunction and ADHD traits in our sample is consistent with other findings in ADHD population [e.g., 8, 26, 27, 84], neither could be directly observed in this study due to the lack of ADHD comparison sample.

## **Strengths and Limitations**

The inclusion of a well-characterized sample of children with ASD is an advantage for this study. Although the sample is not ASD population-representative due to the exclusion of individuals with IQ below 50, it is still population-based and is free from clinical sampling biases. In fact, the comparisons we made between eligible individuals who did and did not take part in the study suggested that the study participants had representative ASD and ADHD traits for ASD children with IQ of 50 and above, therefore substantively extending previous neurocognitive findings in this topic which typically excluded children with learning disability. The inclusion of multiple measures from multiple informants gives an advantage over the typical approach of using single measures. The study includes multiple measures of ADHD psychopathology including the SDQ, a well-recognized screening instrument for children and adolescents, and the diagnostic instrument CAPA. Furthermore, the ADOS and the ADI-R, used to index autistic traits in the model, are considered “gold-standard” research instruments for assessing ASD [108].

One limitation of the study is that factors were modelled with EF and ToM predicting the ADHD and ASD symptoms, in line with the idea that EF and ToM deficits are endophenotypes that mediate the link between genes and behavioral symptoms (See [10] for alternative models that do not assume this directionality). However, the study design does not allow a strong test of causal direction. Therefore, the links between factors in the present

model are best understood as associations rather than predictions. Secondly, we have modelled associations between factors indexed by measures collected over a 6-year period, thus assuming the stability of behavioral traits within the time window, which was supported by findings of persisting ASD and ADHD traits from childhood to adolescents reported in previous studies [74-76]. Furthermore, the additional analyses including only data collected during the adolescent years, preserve the specificity of relations between the factors EF and ADHD and between ToM and observed ASD symptoms in the model. Finally, the small number of girls in the study may limit the generalizability of the results among females with ASD.

## Conclusions

This study adds to the growing literature that explores the cognitive underpinnings of ADHD symptoms in the ASD population. We found that there is a specific association between EF and ADHD symptoms that remained even after controlling for their associations with variations in ToM abilities and ASD symptoms, which supports the additive hypothesis of ADHD symptoms of ASD in the comorbid cases. Within the clinical context, this finding improves our understanding of how impairments in distinct cognitive domains contribute to the phenotypic variations of ASD, which often include additional presentation of ADHD. Our findings also suggest similarities in the cognitive correlates of ADHD symptoms in ASD as in pure ADHD [35, 39], although this remains to be tested by comparing the model in both ASD and ADHD populations. Importantly, the association between EF and ToM impairments could provide a partial explanation for the co-occurrence of ADHD symptoms in ASD. Finally, shared reporting effects from parents should be considered when examining ADHD symptoms in the ASD population.

**List of abbreviations**

ASD	Autism spectrum disorder
ADHD	Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
EF	Executive function
ToM	Theory of mind
SEM	Structural equation modelling
SNAP	Special Needs and Autism Project
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases
ADI-R	Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised
ADOS-G	Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic
FSIQ	Full scale IQ
WISC	Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children
WASI	Wechsler's Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence
SRS	Social Responsiveness Scale
SDQ	Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
PONS	Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms
CAPA	Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment
EFA	Exploratory factor analysis
CFI	Comparative fit index
TLI	Tucker-Lewis Index
RMSEA	Root mean square error of approximation
BIC	Bayesian Information Criterion
AIC	Akaike Information Criterion
VIQ	Verbal IQ
PIQ	Performance IQ
RME	Reading the Mind in the Eyes
DSM	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
CST	Card sorting task
TMT	Trail Making Test
OW	Opposite Worlds
NB	Numbers (backward)
PD	Planning drawing task
AT	Animated triangle task
PHG	Penny hiding game

## 470 **Declarations**

### 471 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

472 The study was approved by the South-East London Research Ethics Committee  
473 (05/MRE01/67). Informed consent was given by the parents and by the participant if their  
474 level of understanding was sufficient.

### 475 **Consent for publication**

476 Not applicable.

### 477 **Availability of data and material**

478 The datasets generated by the current study are not available publicly and are currently being  
479 further analyzed by the research group but they are available on reasonable request from  
480 individual researchers who wish to use the data in their investigation.

### 481 **Competing interests**

482 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 483 **Funding**

484 The research was supported by awards from the Medical Research Council (G0400065) and  
485 the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the South London and Maudsley  
486 NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. The views expressed are those of the  
487 authors and not necessarily those of the funders, NHS, NIHR or the UK Department of  
488 Health.

489    **Authors' contributions**

490    SL made substantial contributions to the conception of the study and its design, the analysis,  
491    interpretation of the data and the drafting of the manuscript. CJ made substantial  
492    contributions to the acquisition of data and critical revision of the manuscript for important  
493    intellectual content. AP made substantial contributions to the statistical methods and  
494    interpretations. GB, FH and TC made substantial contribution in the critical revision of the  
495    manuscript for important intellectual content. ES made substantial contributions to the  
496    design, interpretation of the data, critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual  
497    content, and gave final approval of the version to be published. All authors read and approved  
498    the final manuscript.

499    **Acknowledgements**

500    We are grateful to the adolescents and families who took part in this study. With thanks to  
501    Anita Marsden, Jeni Tregay and Rebecca Phillips for their help with data collection.

502



- 504 1. Elsabbagh M, Divan G, Koh Y-J, Kim YS, Kauchali S, Marcín C, Montiel-Nava C,  
505 Patel V, Paula CS, Wang C, et al. Global prevalence of autism and other pervasive  
506 developmental disorders: global epidemiology of autism. *Autism Res.* 2012; 5:160-  
507 79.
- 508 2. American Psychiatric Association (APA). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental*  
509 *disorders: DSM-5.* 5th edn. Washington, DC: APA; 2013.
- 510 3. Gjevik E, Eldevik S, Fjæran-Granum T, Sponheim E. Kiddie-SADS reveals high rates  
511 of DSM-IV disorders in children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *J*  
512 *Autism Dev Disord.* 2011; 41:761-9.
- 513 4. Salazar F, Baird G, Chandler S, Tseng E, O'sullivan T, Howlin P, Pickles A,  
514 Simonoff E. Co-occurring psychiatric disorders in preschool and elementary school-  
515 aged children with autism spectrum disorder. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2015; 45:2283-  
516 94.
- 517 5. Simonoff E, Pickles A, Charman T, Chandler S, Loucas T, Baird G. Psychiatric  
518 disorders in children with autism spectrum disorders: prevalence, comorbidity, and  
519 associated factors in a population-derived sample. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc*  
520 *Psychiatry.* 2008; 47:921-9.
- 521 6. Polanczyk G, Willcutt EG, Salum GA, Kieling C, Rohde LA. ADHD prevalence  
522 estimates across three decades: an updated systematic review and meta-regression  
523 analysis. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2014; 43:434-42.
- 524 7. Craig F, Margari F, Legrottaglie AR, Palumbi R, de Giambattista C, Margari L. A  
525 review of executive function deficits in autism spectrum disorder and attention-  
526 deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2016; 12:1191-202.

- 527 8. Willcutt EG, Sonuga-Barke EJS, Nigg JT, Sergeant JA: Recent developments in  
528 neuropsychological models of childhood psychiatric disorders. In *Advances in*  
529 *biological psychiatry*. Edited by Banaschewski T, Rohde LA. Basel: Karger; 2008:  
530 195-226
- 531 9. Bora E, Pantelis C. Meta-analysis of social cognition in attention-deficit/hyperactivity  
532 disorder (ADHD): comparison with healthy controls and autistic spectrum disorder.  
533 *Psychol Med*. 2015;1–18.
- 534 10. Rommelse NNJ, Geurts HM, Franke B, Buitelaar JK, Hartman CA. A review on  
535 cognitive and brain endophenotypes that may be common in autism spectrum disorder  
536 and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and facilitate the search for pleiotropic  
537 genes. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2011; 35:1363-96.
- 538 11. Sinzig J, Morsch D, Bruning N, Schmidt MH, Lehmkuhl G. Inhibition, flexibility,  
539 working memory and planning in autism spectrum disorders with and without  
540 comorbid ADHD-symptoms. *Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health*. 2008; 2:4.
- 541 12. Tye C, Asherson P, Ashwood KL, Azadi B, Bolton P, McLoughlin G. Attention and  
542 inhibition in children with ASD, ADHD and co-morbid ASD + ADHD: an event-  
543 related potential study. *Psychol Med*. 2014; 44:1101-16.
- 544 13. Caron C, Rutter M. Comorbidity in child psychopathology: concepts, issues and  
545 research strategies. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 1991; 32:1063-80.
- 546 14. Banaschewski T, Neale BM, Rothenberger A, Roessner V. Comorbidity of tic  
547 disorders & ADHD: conceptual and methodological considerations. *Eur Child*  
548 *Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2007; 16:5-14.
- 549 15. van der Meer JM, Oerlemans AM, van Steijn DJ, Lappenschaar MG, de Sonnevile  
550 LM, Buitelaar JK, Rommelse NN. Are autism spectrum disorder and attention-

551 deficit/hyperactivity disorder different manifestations of one overarching disorder?  
552 Cognitive and symptom evidence from a clinical and population-based sample. *J Am*  
553 *Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2012; 51:1160-72 e3.

554 16. Yirmiya N, Erel O, Shaked M, Solomonica-Levi D. Meta-analyses comparing theory  
555 of mind abilities of individuals with autism, individuals with mental retardation, and  
556 normally developing individuals. *Psychol Bull*. 1998; 124:283-307.

557 17. Kuiper MWM, Verhoeven EWM, Geurts HM. The role of interstimulus interval and  
558 "stimulus-type" in prepotent response inhibition abilities in people with ASD: a  
559 quantitative and qualitative review. *Autism Res*. 2016; 9:1124-41.

560 18. Hill EL. Executive dysfunction in autism. *Trends Cogn Sci*. 2004; 8:26-32.

561 19. Landry O, Al-Taie S. A meta-analysis of the Wisconsin Card Sort Task in autism. *J*  
562 *Autism Dev Disord*. 2016; 46:1220-35.

563 20. Baron-Cohen S, Leslie AM, Frith U. Does the autistic child have a "theory of mind"?  
564 *Cognition*. 1985; 21:37-46.

565 21. Senju A. Atypical development of spontaneous social cognition in autism spectrum  
566 disorders. *Brain Dev*. 2013; 35:96-101.

567 22. Buitelaar JK, van der Wees M, Swaab-Barneveld H, van der Gaag RJ. Theory of mind  
568 and emotion-recognition functioning in autistic spectrum disorders and in psychiatric  
569 control and normal children. *Dev Psychopathol*. 1999; 11:39-58.

570 23. Mary A, Slama H, Mousty P, Massat I, Capiat T, Drabs V, Peigneux P. Executive  
571 and attentional contributions to theory of mind deficit in attention deficit/hyperactivity  
572 disorder (ADHD). *Child Neuropsychol*. 2016; 22:345-65.

- 573 24. Happe F, Booth R, Charlton R, Hughes C. Executive function deficits in autism  
574 spectrum disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: examining profiles  
575 across domains and ages. *Brain Cogn.* 2006; 61:25-39.
- 576 25. Miyake A, Friedman NP. The nature and organization of individual differences in  
577 executive functions: four general conclusions. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci.* 2012; 21:8-14.
- 578 26. Lipszyc J, Schachar R. Inhibitory control and psychopathology: a meta-analysis of  
579 studies using the stop signal task. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2010; 16:1064-76.
- 580 27. Kasper LJ, Alderson RM, Hudec KL. Moderators of working memory deficits in  
581 children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a meta-analytic  
582 review. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2012; 32:605-17.
- 583 28. Willcutt EG, Pennington BF, Olson RK, Chhabildas N, Hulslander J.  
584 Neuropsychological analyses of comorbidity between reading disability and attention  
585 deficit hyperactivity disorder: in search of the common deficit. *Dev Neuropsychol.*  
586 2005; 27:35-78.
- 587 29. Geurts HM, Sinzig J, Booth R, Happé F. Neuropsychological heterogeneity in  
588 executive functioning in autism spectrum disorders. *Int J Dev Disabil.* 2014; 60:155-  
589 62.
- 590 30. Geurts HM, Corbett B, Solomon M. The paradox of cognitive flexibility in autism.  
591 *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2009; 13:74-82.
- 592 31. D'Cruz A-M, Ragozzino ME, Mosconi MW, Shrestha S, Cook EH, Sweeney JA.  
593 Reduced behavioral flexibility in autism spectrum disorders. *Neuropsychology.* 2013;  
594 27:152-60.
- 595 32. Reed P, Watts H, Truzoli R. Flexibility in young people with autism spectrum  
596 disorders on a card sort task. *Autism.* 2013; 17:162-71.

- 597 33. Mostert-Kerckhoffs MAL, Staal WG, Houben RH, de Jonge MV. Stop and change:  
598 inhibition and flexibility skills are related to repetitive behavior in children and young  
599 adults with autism spectrum disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2015; 45:3148-58.
- 600 34. Brunsdon VE, Happé F. Exploring the 'fractionation' of autism at the cognitive level.  
601 *Autism*. 2014; 18:17-30.
- 602 35. Corbett BA, Constantine LJ, Hendren R, Rocke D, Ozonoff S. Examining executive  
603 functioning in children with autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity  
604 disorder and typical development. *Psychiatry Res*. 2009; 166:210-22.
- 605 36. Buehler E, Bachmann C, Goyert H, Heinzl-Gutenbrunner M, Kamp-Becker I.  
606 Differential diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity  
607 disorder by means of inhibitory control and 'theory of mind'. *J Autism Dev Disord*.  
608 2011; 41:1718-26.
- 609 37. Andersen PN, Hovik KT, Skogli EW, Egeland J, Øie M. Symptoms of ADHD in  
610 children with high-functioning autism are related to impaired verbal working memory  
611 and verbal delayed recall. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e64842.
- 612 38. Yerys BE, Wallace GL, Sokoloff JL, Shook DA, James JD, Kenworthy L. Attention  
613 deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms moderate cognition and behavior in children  
614 with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism Res*. 2009; 2:322-33.
- 615 39. Ames CS, White SJ. Brief report: are ADHD traits dissociable from the autistic  
616 profile? Links between cognition and behaviour. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2011; 41:357-  
617 63.
- 618 40. Unterrainer JM, Rauh R, Rahm B, Hardt J, Kaller CP, Klein C, Paschke-Müller M,  
619 Biscaldi M. Development of planning in children with high-functioning autism

620 spectrum disorders and/or attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Autism Res.* 2015;  
621 9:739-51.

622 41. Yang J, Zhou S, Yao S, Su L, McWhinnie C. The relationship between theory of mind  
623 and executive function in a sample of children from mainland China. *Child Psychiatry*  
624 *Hum Dev.* 2009; 40:169-82.

625 42. Friedman NP, Miyake A. The relations among inhibition and interference control  
626 functions: a latent-variable analysis. *J Exp Psychol.* 2004; 133:101-35.

627 43. Pellicano E. Links between theory of mind and executive function in young children  
628 with autism: clues to developmental primacy. *Dev Psychol.* 2007; 43:974-90.

629 44. Joseph RM, Tager-Flusberg H. The relationship of theory of mind and executive  
630 functions to symptom type and severity in children with autism. *Dev Psychopathol.*  
631 2004; 16:137-55.

632 45. Ozonoff S, Pennington BF, Rogers SJ. Executive function deficits in high-functioning  
633 autistic individuals: relationship to theory of mind. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1991;  
634 32:1081-105.

635 46. Biggam S. Impaired competence for pretense in children with autism: exploring  
636 potential cognitive predictors. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2010; 40:30-8.

637 47. Holtmann M, Bolte S, Poustka F. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms  
638 in pervasive developmental disorders: association with autistic behavior domains and  
639 coexisting psychopathology. *Psychopathology.* 2007; 40:172-7.

640 48. Salley B, Gabrielli J, Smith CM, Braun M. Do communication and social interaction  
641 skills differ across youth diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder, attention-  
642 deficit/hyperactivity disorder, or dual diagnosis? *Res Autism Spectr Disord.* 2015;  
643 20:58-66.

- 644 49. Sprenger L, Buehler E, Poustka L, Bach C, Heinzl-Gutenbrunner M, Kamp-Becker I,  
645 Bachmann C. Impact of ADHD symptoms on autism spectrum disorder symptom  
646 severity. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2013; 34:3545-52.
- 647 50. Baird G, Simonoff E, Pickles A, Chandler S, Loucas T, Meldrum D, Charman T.  
648 Prevalence of disorders of the autism spectrum in a population cohort of children in  
649 South Thames: the Special Needs and Autism Project (SNAP). *Lancet.* 2006;  
650 368:210-5.
- 651 51. World Health Organization. The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural  
652 disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. Geneva: World Health  
653 Organization; 1992.
- 654 52. Lord C, Rutter M, Le Couteur A. Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised  
655 version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive  
656 developmental disorders. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994; 24:659-85.
- 657 53. Lord C, Risi S, Lambrecht L, Cook EH, Jr., Leventhal BL, DiLavore PC, Pickles A,  
658 Rutter M. The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule-Generic: a standard measure  
659 of social and communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *J*  
660 *Autism Dev Disord.* 2000; 30:205-23.
- 661 54. Constantino JN. The quantitative nature of autistic social impairment. *Pediatr Res.*  
662 2011; 69:55R-62R.
- 663 55. Goodman R, Ford T, Simmons H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. Using the Strengths and  
664 Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to screen for child psychiatric disorders in a  
665 community sample. *Int Rev Psychiatry.* 2003; 15:166-72.
- 666 56. Wechsler D. Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI). London: The  
667 Psychological Corporation; 1999.

- 668 57. Santosh PJ, Gringras P, Baird G, Fiori F, Sala R. Development and psychometric  
669 properties of the parent version of the Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms (PONS)  
670 in children and adolescents. *BMC pediatrics*. 2015; 15:62.
- 671 58. Angold A, Prendergast M, Cox A, Harrington R, Simonoff E, Rutter M. The Child  
672 and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment (CAPA). *Psychol Med*. 1995; 25:739-53.
- 673 59. Manly T, Anderson V, Nimmo-Smith I, Turner A, Watson P, Robertson IH. The  
674 differential assessment of children's attention: the Test of Everyday Attention for  
675 Children (TEA-Ch), normative sample and ADHD performance. *J Child Psychol*  
676 *Psychiatry*. 2001; 42:1065-81.
- 677 60. Luria AR, Pribram KH, Homskaya ED. An experimental analysis of the behavioral  
678 disturbance produced by a left frontal arachnoidal endothelioma (meningioma).  
679 *Neuropsychologia*. 1964; 2:257-80.
- 680 61. Reitan RM. Validity of the trail making test as an indicator of organic brain damage.  
681 *Percept Mot Skills*. 1958;8:271-76.
- 682 62. Tregay J, Gilmour J, Charman T. Childhood rituals and executive functions. *Br J Dev*  
683 *Psychol*. 2009; 27:283-96.
- 684 63. Booth R, Charlton R, Hughes C, Happé F. Disentangling weak coherence and  
685 executive dysfunction: planning drawing in autism and attention-deficit/hyperactivity  
686 disorder. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2003; 358:387-92.
- 687 64. Cohen M. Children's memory scale. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological  
688 Corporation; 1997.
- 689 65. Willcutt EG, Doyle AE, Nigg JT, Faraone SV, Pennington BF. Validity of the  
690 executive function theory of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analytic  
691 review. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005; 57:1336-46.



- 692 66. Bowler DM. "Theory of mind" in Asperger's syndrome. *J Child Psychol Psyc.* 1992;  
693 33:877-93.
- 694 67. Baron-Cohen S. The autistic child's theory of mind: a case of specific developmental  
695 delay. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1989; 30:285-97.
- 696 68. Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Scahill V, Lawson J, Spong A. Are intuitive physics  
697 and intuitive psychology independent? A test with children with Asperger syndrome.  
698 *J Dev Learn Disord.* 2001; 5:47-78.
- 699 69. Baron-Cohen S. Out of sight or out of mind? Another look at deception in autism. *J*  
700 *Child Psychol Psychiatry.* 1992; 33:1141-55.
- 701 70. Happé FG. An advanced test of theory of mind: understanding of story characters'  
702 thoughts and feelings by able autistic, mentally handicapped, and normal children and  
703 adults. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 1994; 24:129-54.
- 704 71. Castelli F, Frith C, Happé F, Frith U. Autism, Asperger syndrome and brain  
705 mechanisms for the attribution of mental states to animated shapes. *Brain.* 2002;  
706 125:1839-49.
- 707 72. StataCorp LP: Stata: release 11 base reference manual. College Station, TX: Stata  
708 Press; 2009.
- 709 73. Bulgarelli D, Testa S, Molina P. Factorial structure of the 'ToM Storybooks': a test  
710 evaluating multiple components of theory of mind. *Br J Dev Psychol.* 2015; 33:187-  
711 202.
- 712 74. Simonoff E, Jones CRG, Baird G, Pickles A, Happé F, Charman T. The persistence  
713 and stability of psychiatric problems in adolescents with autism spectrum disorders:  
714 stability of psychiatric symptoms in autism spectrum disorders. *J Child Psychol Psyc.*  
715 2013; 54:186-94.

- 716 75. Louwerse A, Eussen MLJM, Van der Ende J, de Nijs PFA, Van Gool AR, Dekker LP,  
717 Verheij C, Verheij F, Verhulst FC, Greaves-Lord K. ASD symptom severity in  
718 adolescence of individuals diagnosed with PDD-NOS in childhood: stability and the  
719 relation with psychiatric comorbidity and societal participation. *J Autism Dev Disord.*  
720 2015; 45:3908-18.
- 721 76. Cederlund M, Hagberg B, Billstedt E, Gillberg IC, Gillberg C. Asperger syndrome  
722 and autism: a comparative longitudinal follow-up study more than 5 years after  
723 original diagnosis. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2008; 38:72-85.
- 724 77. Tureck K, Matson JL, Cervantes P, Turygin N. Autism severity as a predictor of  
725 inattention and impulsivity in toddlers. *Dev Neurorehabil.* 2013;18:285-9.
- 726 78. Muthén LK, Muthén BO. Mplus: the comprehensive modeling program for applied  
727 researchers : user's guide. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén; 2000.
- 728 79. Charman T, Pickles A, Simonoff E, Chandler S, Loucas T, Baird G. IQ in children  
729 with autism spectrum disorders: data from the Special Needs and Autism Project  
730 (SNAP). *Psychol Med.* 2011; 41:619-27.
- 731 80. Postorino V, Fatta LM, Sanges V, Giovagnoli G, De Peppo L, Vicari S, Mazzone L.  
732 Intellectual disability in autism spectrum disorder: investigation of prevalence in an  
733 Italian sample of children and adolescents. *Res Dev Disabil.* 2016; 48:193-201.
- 734 81. Bentler PM, Bonett DG. Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of  
735 covariance structures. *Psychol Bull.* 1980; 88:588-606.
- 736 82. Browne MW, Cudeck R: Alternative ways of assessing model fit. In *Testing structural*  
737 *equation models.* Edited by Bollen KA, Long JS. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1993:  
738 136-62

- 739 83. Bishop DVM, Norbury CF. Executive functions in children with communication  
740 impairments, in relation to autistic symptomatology 2: response inhibition. *Autism*.  
741 2005; 9:29-43.
- 742 84. Wood AC, Rijdsdijk F, Johnson KA, Andreou P, Albrecht B, Arias-Vasquez A,  
743 Buitelaar JK, McLoughlin G, Rommelse NN, Sergeant JA, et al. The relationship  
744 between ADHD and key cognitive phenotypes is not mediated by shared familial  
745 effects with IQ. *Psychol Med*. 2011; 41:861-71.
- 746 85. Kuntsi J, Andreou P, Ma J, Börger N, Van Der Meere J. Testing assumptions for  
747 endophenotype studies in ADHD: reliability and validity of tasks in a general  
748 population sample. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2005;5:40.
- 749 86. Kuntsi J, Wood AC, Van Der Meere J, Asherson P. Why cognitive performance in  
750 ADHD may not reveal true potential: findings from a large population-based sample.  
751 *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2009; 15:570-9.
- 752 87. Bezdjian S, Baker LA, Lozano DI, Raine A. Assessing inattention and impulsivity in  
753 children during the Go/NoGo task. *Br J Dev Psychol*. 2009; 27:365-83.
- 754 88. Frith U, Happé F, Siddons F. Autism and theory of mind in everyday life. *Soc Dev*.  
755 1994; 3:108-24.
- 756 89. Nagar Shimoni H, Weizman A, Yoran RH, Raviv A. Theory of mind, severity of  
757 autistic symptoms and parental correlates in children and adolescents with Asperger  
758 syndrome. *Psychiatry Res*. 2012; 197:85-9.
- 759 90. San José Cáceres A, Keren N, Booth R, Happé F. Assessing theory of mind  
760 nonverbally in those with intellectual disability and ASD: the Penny Hiding Game.  
761 *Autism Res*. 2014; 7:608-16.

- 762 91. Fombonne E, Siddons F, Achard S, Frith U, Happé F. Adaptive behaviour and theory  
763 of mind in autism. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1994; 3:176-86.
- 764 92. Pellicano E. Testing the predictive power of cognitive atypicalities in autistic  
765 children: evidence from a 3-year follow-up study. *Autism Res*. 2013; 6:258-67.
- 766 93. Travis L, Sigman M, Ruskin E. Links between social understanding and social  
767 behavior in verbally able children with autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2001; 31:119-  
768 30.
- 769 94. Bloom P, German TP. Two reasons to abandon the false belief task as a test of theory  
770 of mind. *Cognition*. 2000; 77:B25-31.
- 771 95. Tager-Flusberg H. Evaluating the theory of mind hypothesis of autism. *Curr Dir*  
772 *Psychol Sci*. 2007; 16:311-5.
- 773 96. Kenworthy L, Black DO, Harrison B, della Rosa A, Wallace GL. Are executive  
774 control functions related to autism symptoms in high-functioning children? *Child*  
775 *Neuropsychol*. 2009; 15:425-40.
- 776 97. White SJ. The Triple I hypothesis: taking another('s) perspective on executive  
777 dysfunction in autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*. 2013; 43:114-21.
- 778 98. Moul C, Cauchi A, Hawes DJ, Brennan J, Dadds MR. Differentiating autism  
779 spectrum disorder and overlapping psychopathology with a brief version of the Social  
780 Responsiveness Scale. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev*. 2015; 46:108-17.
- 781 99. Grzadzinski R, Dick C, Lord C, Bishop S. Parent-reported and clinician-observed  
782 autism spectrum disorder (ASD) symptoms in children with attention  
783 deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): implications for practice under DSM-5. *Mol*  
784 *Autism*. 2016;7:7.

- 785 100. De Los Reyes A, Kazdin AE. Informant discrepancies in the assessment of childhood  
786 psychopathology: a critical review, theoretical framework, and recommendations for  
787 further study. *Psychol Bull.* 2005; 131:483-509.
- 788 101. Kanne SM, Abbacchi AM, Constantino JN. Multi-informant ratings of psychiatric  
789 symptom severity in children with autism spectrum disorders: the importance of  
790 environmental context. *J Autism Dev Disord.* 2009; 39:856-64.
- 791 102. Murray DW, Kollins SH, Hardy KK, Abikoff HB, Swanson JM, Cunningham C,  
792 Vitiello B, Riddle MA, Davies M, Greenhill LL, et al. Parent versus teacher ratings of  
793 attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms in the Preschoolers with Attention-  
794 Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Treatment Study (PATs). *J Child Adolesc*  
795 *Psychopharmacol.* 2007; 17:605-20.
- 796 103. Risi S, Lord C, Gotham K, Corsello C, Chrysler C, Szatmari P, Cook EH, Leventhal  
797 BL, Pickles A. Combining information from multiple sources in the diagnosis of  
798 autism spectrum disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2006; 45:1094-103.
- 799 104. Goldstein S, Schwabach AJ. The comorbidity of pervasive developmental disorder  
800 and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: results of a retrospective chart review. *J*  
801 *Autism Dev Disord.* 2004; 34:329-39.
- 802 105. Johnston K, Dittner A, Bramham J, Murphy C, Knight A, Russell A. Attention deficit  
803 hyperactivity disorder symptoms in adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*  
804 *Res.* 2013; 6:225-36.
- 805 106. Hofvander B, Delorme R, Chaste P, Nyden A, Wentz E, Stahlberg O, Herbrecht E,  
806 Stopin A, Anckarsater H, Gillberg C, et al. Psychiatric and psychosocial problems in  
807 adults with normal-intelligence autism spectrum disorders. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2009;  
808 9:35.

- 809 107. Joshi G, Faraone SV, Wozniak J, Tarko L, Fried R, Galdo M, Furtak SL, Biederman  
810 J. Symptom profile of ADHD in youth with high-functioning autism spectrum  
811 disorder: a comparative study in psychiatrically referred populations *J Atten Disord.*  
812 2014;21:846-55.
- 813 108. Falkmer T, Anderson K, Falkmer M, Horlin C. Diagnostic procedures in autism  
814 spectrum disorders: a systematic literature review. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.*  
815 2013; 22:329-40.
- 816
- 817

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Measures

	Mean (SD)	Range
<b><i>IQ measures</i></b>		
FSIQ	84.3 (18.0)	50 – 119
VIQ	80.8 (18.0)	55 – 120
PIQ	90.4 (18.6)	53 – 126
<b><i>EF indicators</i></b>		
Card sort task (98/100) <sup>a</sup>	7.2 (6.6)	1 – 36
Luria hand game (97/100) <sup>b</sup>	2.8 (3.3)	0 – 15
Trail making (88/100) <sup>a</sup>	63.4 (44.0)	13.4 – 257.1
Planning/drawing (98/100) <sup>a, b</sup>	2.4 (1.7)	0 – 6
Opposite worlds (98/100) <sup>a, b</sup>	8.4 (7.5)	-3.7 – 47.4
Numbers (99/100) <sup>a, b</sup>	7.3 (2.5)	0 – 12
<b><i>ToM indicators</i></b>		
RME (94/100) <sup>b</sup>	14.0 (4.4)	6 – 25
Penny hiding game <sup>b</sup>	2.3 (2.7)	0 – 14
Strange stories (88/100) <sup>b</sup>	4.6 (2.1)	0 – 8
Animated triangle (87/100) <sup>a, b</sup>	2.9 (0.9)	0 – 4.75
False belief (99/100) <sup>b</sup>	3.3 (2.4)	0 – 8
<b><i>ADHD symptom indicators</i></b>		
Parent SDQ hyperactivity (93/100) <sup>a</sup>	5.8 (2.5)	0 – 10
Teacher SDQ hyperactivity (85/100)	5.5 (2.4)	1 – 10
Parent PONS ADHD (89/100) <sup>a</sup>	10.7 (6.6)	0 – 27
DSM-IV ADHD symptoms (73/100)	6.0 (3.6)	0 – 14
<b><i>ASD symptom indicators</i></b>		
Parent SRS total raw score (92/100)	92.5 (29.3)	21 – 153
ADI-R total (99/100)	21.5 (7.7)	5 – 41
ADOS total	11.6 (6.1)	1 – 27

**Notes.** Descriptive statistics reported here are based on raw data. Abbreviations FSIQ = Full-scale IQ, VIQ = Verbal IQ, PIQ = Performance IQ, RME = Reading the Mind in the Eye Tasks, SDQ = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, PONS = Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule. Variables indexed “a” were Box-Cox transformed during analyses. Variables indexed “b” were reversed scores on this table.

Table 2: Bivariate Correlations Among Neurocognitive and Symptom Measures

	EF measures						ToM measures					ADHD measures				ASD measures	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Card sort task (1)	-																
Luria hand game (2)	<b>.28**</b> (.05)	-															
Trail-making (3)	<b>.36***</b> (.12)	<b>.26*</b> (.11)	-														
Opposite worlds (4)	<b>.31**</b> (.08)	.17 (.03)	<b>.38***</b> (.28**)	-													
Numbers (5)	<b>.51***</b> (.21*)	<b>.35***</b> (.18†)	<b>.47***</b> (.21**)	<b>.37***</b> (-.20†)	-												
Planning/drawing (6)	<b>.36***</b> (.21*)	.20† (.08)	.17 (.05)	.18† (.06)	.17† (-.02)	-											
Animated triangle (7)	<b>.33**</b> (.12)	.10 (.01)	.02 (-.13)	.14 (-.003)	<b>.28**</b> (.06)	.002 (-.13)	-										
Penny hiding game (8)	<b>.27**</b> (.06)	<b>.45***</b> (.36***)	.17 (.04)	<b>.26*</b> (.15)	<b>.30**</b> (.12)	.14 (.02)	<b>.37***</b> (.32**)	-									
RME (9)	<b>.22*</b> (-.19†)	<b>.39***</b> (.25*)	<b>.25*</b> (.04)	<b>.21*</b> (.01)	<b>.39***</b> (.11)	.06 (-.10)	<b>.41***</b> (.25*)	<b>.47***</b> (.37***)	-								
Strange stories (10)	<b>.29**</b> (-.07)	<b>.22*</b> (.07)	.18 (-.07)	<b>.23*</b> (.04)	<b>.37***</b> (.07)	<b>.25*</b> (.14)	.20† (-.04)	<b>.29**</b> (.12)	<b>.29**</b> (-.003)	-							
False belief (11)	<b>.63***</b> (.30**)	<b>.53***</b> (.30**)	<b>.32**</b> (-.01)	<b>.25*</b> (-.05)	<b>.46***</b> (.05)	<b>.27**</b> (.06)	<b>.31**</b> (.02)	<b>.54***</b> (.44***)	<b>.45***</b> (.11)	<b>.43***</b> (.08)	-						
pPONS ADHD (12)	.16 (.06)	.10 (.05)	.21† (.16)	<b>.23*</b> (.18)	<b>.26*</b> (.19†)	.21† (.17)	.10 (.04)	<b>.24*</b> (.20†)	.11 (.02)	.01 (-.09)	.03 (-.13)	-					
pDSM ADHD (13)	<b>.27*</b> (.19)	.16 (.10)	.20 (.14)	.19 (.15)	<b>.25*</b> (.19)	<b>.30**</b> (.26*)	.08 (.03)	.10 (.04)	.09 (-.01)	.09 (-.005)	.13 (-.06)	<b>.55***</b> (.54***)	-				
pSDQ ADHD (14)	.17 (.05)	<b>.22*</b> (.17)	<b>.31**</b> (.24*)	<b>.21*</b> (.15)	<b>.28**</b> (.20†)	.14 (.08)	.12 (.01)	.19† (.12)	<b>.25*</b> (.16)	.08 (-.04)	.08 (-.10)	<b>.56***</b> (.54***)	<b>.46***</b> (.44***)	-			
tSDQ ADHD (15)	<b>.24*</b> (.20†)	<b>.28*</b> (.24*)	.13 (.06)	.11 (.04)	<b>.31**</b> (.33**)	.14 (.09)	.12 (.05)	<b>.30**</b> (.27*)	.14 (.05)	-.14 (-.26*)	<b>.22*</b> (.16)	<b>.41***</b> (.39***)	<b>.50***</b> (.48***)	<b>.38***</b> (.36**)	-		
pADI-R (16)	.18† (.10)	.19† (.14)	-.03 (-.16)	-.05 (-.14)	.05 (-.05)	.14 (.10)	<b>.29**</b> (.25*)	<b>.31**</b> (.26*)	.03 (-.07)	.08 (.03)	<b>.28**</b> (.24*)	.16 (.14)	<b>.35**</b> (.33**)	<b>.22*</b> (.17)	<b>.25*</b> (.23*)	-	
ADOS (17)	.17† (.05)	<b>.27**</b> (.20*)	.05 (-.05)	-.02 (-.13)	.11 (-.02)	.19† (.12)	<b>.38***</b> (.29**)	<b>.42***</b> (.37***)	<b>.33**</b> (.29**)	<b>.29**</b> (.26*)	<b>.36**</b> (.24*)	-.01 (-.06)	-.17 (-.21†)	-.02 (-.09)	.14 (.12)	<b>.34***</b> (.33**)	-
pSRS (18)	.17 (-.04)	<b>.28**</b> (.20†)	<b>.27*</b> (.11)	.14 (.03)	.18 (.007)	.12 (.01)	.15 (.03)	<b>.25*</b> (.16)	<b>.29**</b> (.15)	.20† (.06)	.20† (.05)	<b>.50***</b> (.48***)	<b>.36**</b> (.32**)	<b>.38***</b> (.33**)	.09 (.05)	<b>.43***</b> (.40***)	.15 (.07)



---

**Notes.** Bivariate correlation coefficients are presented, with uncorrected  $p$ -values for multiple comparisons. The coefficients in brackets are partialled for FSIQ. Abbreviations of neurocognitive measures EF = Executive Function, ToM = Theory of Mind, RME = Reading the Mind in the Eye Tasks. Abbreviations for ASD or ADHD measures: ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule Total Score, ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, DSM ADHD = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders ADHD symptom numbers, SDQ ADHD = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire ADHD domain, PONS ADHD = Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms ADHD domain. The prefix  $p$  on the measures indicates parent reports whereas the prefix  $t$  indicates a teacher report. Significant levels †  $p < .1$ , \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , and \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

Table 3: Loading of the Measures on Factors EF and ToM

	With cross-loading indicators		Without cross-loading indicators	
	EF	ToM	EF	ToM
Card sort task	<b>.75*</b>	.003	<b>.56*</b>	.19
Trail making	<b>.71*</b>	-.07	<b>.80*</b>	-.09
Opposite worlds	<b>.47*</b>	.01	<b>.54*</b>	.005
Luria hand game <sup>a</sup>	.17	<b>.49*</b>	--	--
Numbers	<b>.66*</b>	.06	<b>.67*</b>	.00
Planning/drawing	<b>.47*</b>	-.09	<b>.37*</b>	.06
Animated triangles	.004	<b>.72*</b>	-.01	<b>.94*</b>
Penny hiding game	-.12	<b>.84*</b>	.13	<b>.61*</b>
RME	.05	<b>.65*</b>	.26	<b>.50*</b>
Strange stories <sup>a</sup>	<b>.38*</b>	.26	--	--
False belief <sup>a</sup>	<b>.44*</b>	<b>.46*</b>	--	--

**Notes.** <sup>a</sup> Measures which cross loaded on factors not expected *a priori*. We excluded these measures from the final model to separate the predictors. Abbreviation: RME = Reading the Mind in the Eyes Task. \* significant at  $p = .05$  level

## Figure Legends

Figure 1. SEM Models are presented here with nonsignificant paths shown on dotted lines. Nonsignificant paths in Model 1 are between EF and ASD ( $\beta = -.11$ ) and between ToM and ADHD factor ( $\beta = .07$ ). In Model 2, the nonsignificant paths are between EF and ASD ( $\beta = -.29$ ), between ToM and ADHD ( $\beta = .16$ ), and between ASD and ADHD ( $r = .03$ ). List of abbreviations CST = card sort task, TMT = Trail-Making Test, OW = Opposite Worlds, NB = Number Backward, PD = planning/drawing task, AT = animated triangle, PHG = penny hiding games, RME = Reading the Mind in the Eye tasks, EF = executive function, ToM = theory of mind. Abbreviations for ASD or ADHD measures: ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, SDQ = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, PONS = Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms. The prefix *p* on these behavioral measures indicates parent-based reports whereas the prefix *t* on the SDQ indicates a teacher-based report. The significant levels \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , and \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .

Figure 2. SEM Final Model is derived from Model 2 with nonsignificant paths removed. List of abbreviations CST = card sort task, TMT = Trail-Making Test, OW = Opposite Worlds, NB = Number Backward, PD = planning/drawing task, AT = animated triangle, PHG = penny hiding games, RME = Reading the Mind in the Eye tasks, EF = executive function, ToM = theory of mind. Abbreviations for ASD or ADHD measures: ADOS = Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, ADI-R = Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, SRS = Social Responsiveness Scale, DSM = Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, SDQ = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, PONS = Profile of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms. The prefix *p* on these behavioral measures indicates parent-based reports whereas the prefix *t* on the SDQ indicates a teacher-based report. The significant levels \*  $p < .05$ , \*\*  $p < .01$ , and \*\*\*  $p < .001$ .